LETTERS

Evidence-Based Analysis of Lymph Node Transfer in Postmastectomy Upper Extremity Lymphedema

Stamatis Sapountzis, Fabio Nicoli, Ram Chilgar, Pedro Ciudad

Department of Plastic Surgery, China Medical University Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan

Correspondence: Stamatis Sapountzis

Department of Plastic Surgery, China Medical University Hospital, 2 Yuh-der Road, Taichung, Taiwan
Tel: +886-9-75682159, Fax: +886-4-22030777, E-mail: ssapountzis@yahoo.com

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

Received: 17 Mar 2013 • Revised: 1 Apr 2013 • Accepted: 9 Apr 2013

pISSN: 2234-6163 • eISSN: 2234-6171

http://dx.doi.org/10.5999/aps.2013.40.4.450 • Arch Plast Surg 2013;40:450-451

Copyright © 2013 The Korean Society of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgeons

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Upper extremity lymphedema is one of the most important sequelae of mastectomy and affects an estimated 16 to 39% of breast cancer patients [1]. Few surgical options for lymphedema have achieved satisfactory long-term results. Amongst previously described methods, the microvascular lymph node transfer (LNT) is the most promising and appears to provide significant improvement, especially in early

stage lymphedema.

We evaluated the existing literature, looking at the influence of LNT on postmastectomy upper extremity lymphedema through a systematic review.

We searched the PubMed database for English articles published from January of 1990 to March of 2012. Our keywords included “postmastectomy lymphedema”, “upper extremity lymphedema”, “lymph node transfer”, and “vascularized lymph node”. This search was supplemented by a review of reference lists of potentially eligible studies. We excluded experimental studies, case reports, and studies in which the results were not separated for the upper and lower limbs. Two reviewers independently extracted data in two steps: titles and abstracts, and then full-text articles. Through our electronic and reference search, we identified 5 clinical studies (Table 1) [1-5]. All of the studies were case series (level IV evidence).

In total, 73 patients received LNT for postmastectomy upper extremity lymphedema. The recipient site was the wrist in 32 patients (43.8%), the axilla in 32 patients (43.8%), the forearm in 2 patients (2.7%), and 7 patients (9.5%) received two LNTs (axilla and elbow).

Lin et al. [1] used a groin flap containing lymph nodes based on the superficial circumflex iliac vessels and the anastomoses were performed to the superficial radial artery and cephalic vein. Becker et al. [2,3] used a similar groin flap but as recipient vessels, used the circumflex scapula vessels. Gharb et al. [4] described a modification of the classic groin lymph node flap. The authors used the superficial branch of the superficial circumflex iliac artery as the dominant vessel responsible for the vascularization of the lymph nodes. The wrist was used as a recipient site, with the anastomosis to the radial artery

Table 1. The five clinical studies on lymph node transfer in postmastectomy upper extremity lymphedema

Reference	Patients	Lymph node flap	Recipient site	Results	Secondary procedure
Becker et al. [2]	24	Inguinal lymph nodes	Axilla: 17 patients Axilla+elbow: 7 patients	Cure: stage I (4/6, 66.6%), stage II (6/18, 33.3%) Improvement: stage I (1/6, 16.6%), stage II (12/18, 66.6%) Unchanged: stage I (1/6, 16.6%), stage II (1/18, 5.5%)	Liposuction: 1 patient
Becker et al. [3]	6	Inguinal lymph nodes	Axilla: 6 patients	Stage I: 4 patients Stage II: 2 patients Lymphedema resolved in 5 patients (83.3%)	None
Lin et al. [1]	13	Inguinal lymph nodes	Wrist: 13 patients	Arm Reduction: 12 patients (92.3%) No change: 1 patient (7.6%) Mean reduction 50.55%	Wedge excision/SAL: 2 patients
Gharb et al. [4]	21	Standard groin flap (11 patients) Flap based on hilar perforator (10 patients)	Wrist: 11 patients Wrist: 8 patients Forearm: 2 patients	No significant difference pre & post Reduction: 10 patients Bellow elbow (P=0.004) Wrist (P=0.002) Midpalm (P=0.007)	SAL: 8 patients SAL: 1 patient SAL/skin reduction: 1 patient
Saaristo et al. [5]	9	Inguinal lymph nodes+DIEP/ms-TRAM Flap	Axilla: 9 patients	Antebrachium/brachium Reduction: 7 patients (77.7%) No change: 2 patients (22.2%)	None

SAL, suction assisted lipectomy; DIEP, deep inferior epigastric perforator flap; TRAM, transverse rectus abdominis myocutaneous flap.

end-to-side, and the concomitant veins of the radial artery end-to-end. Saaristo et al. [5] combined the breast reconstruction using abdominal flaps with the transfer of vascularized inguinal lymph nodes based on the superficial circumflex iliac vessels or the superficial inferior epigastric vessels, and the anastomoses were performed from the deep inferior epigastric vessels end-to-end to the thoracodorsal vessels.

According to the research, there is no consensus on the staging of lymphedema. Only three studies [2-4] have reported precise preoperative staging systems; however, each study used different criteria. Fifty-seven of 73 patients (78%) had postoperative improvement of the affected limb. In every study, a different postoperative evaluation method was used, and the relationship between the lymph node recipient site (axilla, elbow, or wrist) and the anatomical site with the maximum improvement was not clearly reported.

Becker et al. [2] reported that from the 18 patients, the upper limb perimeter returned to normal in 10 cases, remained unchanged in 2 cases, and decreased by more than 50% of its value in 6 patients and less than 50% of its value in 6 other patients. Two years later, Becker et al. [3] evaluated the influence of LNT in postmastectomy neuropathic pain in 6 patients. All of the patients had relief of the symptoms and in 5 patients the lymphedema resolved. Gharb et al. [4] compared the classic groin lymph node flap with a groin flap based on hilar perforators and reported that differences between the preoperative and postoperative measurements were statistically significant only in the perforator-based group at the levels below the elbow, wrist, and midpalm. Saaristo et al. [5] achieved reduction of the affected limb in 7 of 9 patients.

Even though it is implied that at early stage lymphedema, the LNT has better results, the influence of LNT at each stage of lymphedema is not clear. In addition, 17.8% of the patients required an additional procedure (suction assisted lipectomy [SAL] or skin excision) due to lack of sufficient improvement after LNT.

According to existing clinical studies, LNT is able to alleviate postmastectomy upper extremity lymphedema. However, the improvement is variable and no conclusions have been drawn regarding which technique, group of donor lymph nodes, or recipient site can ensure the maximum reduction of the affected limb.

More clinical studies are needed, and a consensus about the staging system of lymphedema and the evaluation methods for postoperative results should be established to enable drawing more certain conclusions.

References

1. Lin CH, Ali R, Chen SC, et al. Vascularized groin lymph node transfer using the wrist as a recipient site for management of postmastectomy upper extremity lymphedema. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 2009;123:1265-75.
2. Becker C, Assouad J, Riquet M, et al. Postmastectomy lymphedema: long-term results following microsurgical lymph node transplantation. *Ann Surg* 2006;243:313-5.
3. Becker C, Pham DN, Assouad J, et al. Postmastectomy neuropathic pain: results of microsurgical lymph nodes transplantation. *Breast* 2008;17:472-6.
4. Gharb BB, Rampazzo A, Spanio di Spilimbergo S, et al. Vascularized lymph node transfer based on the hilar perforators improves the outcome in upper limb lymphedema. *Ann Plast Surg* 2011;67:589-93.
5. Saaristo AM, Niemi TS, Viitanen TP, et al. Microvascular breast reconstruction and lymph node transfer for postmastectomy lymphedema patients. *Ann Surg* 2012;255:468-73.

Abdominoplasty Access for Desmoid Tumor Resection in the Rectus Abdominis Muscle

Gabriel Djedovic, Ralph Verstappen, Gerhard Pierer, Ulrich M. Rieger
Department of Plastic, Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgery, Innsbruck Medical University, Innsbruck, Austria

Correspondence: Gabriel Djedovic
 Department of Plastic, Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgery, Innsbruck Medical University, 35 Anichstrasse, A-6020 Innsbruck, Austria
 Tel: +43-512-504-81687, Fax: +43-512-504-22735, E-mail: gabriel.djedovic@i-med.ac.at

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

Received: 18 Mar 2013 • Revised: 3 Apr 2013 • Accepted: 4 May 2013
 pISSN: 2234-6163 • eISSN: 2234-6171
<http://dx.doi.org/10.5999/aps.2013.40.4.451> • Arch Plast Surg 2013;40:451-453

Copyright © 2013 The Korean Society of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgeons
 This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

With great interest we read the article “desmoid tumor of the rectus abdominis muscle in a postpartum patient” by Choi et al. [1]. In their article, the authors describe a case of a 36-year-old woman who presented with a painless mass in the right rectus abdominis muscle after delivery of two children, the latter three years before presentation. The authors resected the desmoid tumor with parts of the surrounding muscle through a small skin incision, localized directly over the tumor mass. Besides the aforementioned direct approach to the tumor mass and the usage of preexisting scars, other methods have also been described [2,3]. We would like to share our surgical approach in a similar case in our department that we think is superior for several reasons.

A 26-year-old female patient presented with a painless mass on the right abdominal region next to the umbilicus, which she had noticed 2 weeks earlier (Fig. 1). Similar to the case presented by Choi et al. [1], our patient did not have any family history of desmoid tumors, familial adenomatous polyposis syndrome, or Gardner syndrome. She was a non-smoker, did not drink alcohol, and had no history of