INTRODUCTION

Facial palsy (FP) is a functional disorder of the facial nerve involving paralysis of the mimic muscles. According to the principle "time is muscle," early surgical treatment is tremendously important for preserving the mimic musculature if there are no signs of nerve function recovery. In a 49-year-old female patient, even 19 months after onset of FP, successful neurotization was still possible by a V-to-VII nerve transfer and cross-face nerve grafting. Our patient suffered from complete FP after vestibular schwannoma surgery. With continuous application of electrostimulation (ES) therapy, the patient was able to bridge the period between the first onset of FP and neurotization surgery. The significance of ES for mimic musculature preservation in FP patients has not yet been fully clarified. More attention should be paid to this form of therapy in order to preserve the facial musculature, and its benefits should be evaluated in further prospective clinical studies.
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CASE

A 49-year-old female physiotherapist suffered from complete left peripheral FP after resection of a vestibular schwannoma (World Health Organization grade I) in August 2015. Her
House-Brackmann (HB) scale was grade VI. A 1.2 g platinum weight had been implanted in the left upper lid 8 days postoperatively. The patient was offered a neurotization procedure, which she initially refused. Instead, the patient performed self-therapy using ES (Paresestim device; Krauth+Timmermann GmbH, Hamburg, Germany). It included an electrical current with a pulse width not exceeding 100 milliseconds and a current strength of 10 mA. The device guidelines were followed, with the goal of achieving maximum muscle contraction using the least current possible. A reasonable impulse is considered to range from 1 to 4 seconds, with 4 seconds being recommended if the patient can actively support the muscle movement. ES is suggested to be performed twice daily for 10 minutes. For 19 months, the patient stimulated her facial muscles one or two times daily for 10–30 minutes.

In March 2017, the patient presented at the department of plastic and reconstructive surgery at our hospital with long-standing FP. Lagophthalmos measured 6 mm after the previous upper eyelid implant. The patient complained of facial asymmetry, lack of oral competence, and facial expression. Nonetheless, remarkable facial tone was noted on the paralyzed side. A free muscle graft was recommended. However, after the patient reported being able to induce facial motion using the Paresestim device and demonstrated this ability, the operative plan was changed. Consequently, a neurotization procedure, including a V-to-VII nerve transfer (to the facial nerve trunk) and two cross-face nerve grafts (CFNGs; eye branch and smile branch) with end-to-end coaptation, was planned 19 months after the primary manifestation of FP and performed by the last author in April 2017. During the operation, a certain degree of fatty degeneration of the zygomaticus major muscle was seen. ES was again conducted by the patient every other day for 10 minutes for 2–3 months postoperatively. After 4–5 months, the first improvements of symptoms and facial tone in repose were noticed (Fig. 1). With regard to synkinesis, slight contractions of the orbicularis oculi muscle while chewing were noticed by the patient. Lower lid reconstruction using a tarsal-stripe procedure was performed in July 2017. Due to size progression of a residual tumor after her first surgery, re-craniotomy and complete tumor resection were deemed necessary in October 2017. Facial expression pre- and post-neurotization is demonstrated in Supplemental Video 1. The patient provided informed consent for the use of all pictures or videos taken. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board Committee of the University of Regensburg (reference number: 14-101-0251) and was designed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

**DISCUSSION**

The remarkable aspect of this case is the fact that the patient managed to maintain facial muscle function through ES for 19 months. Thus, even after this exceptionally long time, muscle-preserving surgery with nerve transfer and CFNG could still be performed successfully.

Nevertheless, ES is one of the most controversial and non-evidence-based adjunctive therapies for FP [4]. The indications for ES have been described as incomplete posttraumatic or postoperative peripheral nerve lesions, muscle atrophy, hyperkinesia, or synkinesis [2,4,6]. A thorough review of the literature by our group demonstrated non-homogeneous recommendations for ES [7]. Another literature review by Teixeira et al. [8] to evaluate physical therapy for Bell’s palsy was done in 2011. Three trials (86, 149, and 59 patients) studied the efficacy of ES, and could not prove benefits after 6 months. Yet, no statis-
cally significant difference in synkinesis between patients with ES and a control group was found [9]. One study reported worse functional recovery with ES [9]. Faster recovery with ES was found by Flores, whereas Manikandan [9] described opposite results. The authors of this review concluded that there is no high-quality evidence for the efficacy of any physical therapy in FP [8]. However, Tuncay et al. [10] analyzed the role of ES added to conventional therapy in FP in a randomized controlled trial (60 patients), which showed that patients receiving ES presented with improved functional facial movements compared to patients only treated with conventional therapy. Furthermore, ES is beneficial in chronic FP with delayed clinical improvement [7]. After muscle-replacement surgery in long-standing FP, ES of the muscle transplant is started after 6 weeks. As soon as an arbitrary contraction of the muscle transplant is possible, ES is stopped to prevent synkinesis [4]. In our case, the patient was able to partially preserve her original facial musculature through continuous application of ES. Consequently, neurotization of the remaining facial muscles was still successful after 19 months. Most likely due to the elapsed time between the onset of FP and the neurotization procedure, complete restoration of muscle function and symmetry was not possible.

Early treatment of irreversible FP, following the “time is muscle” principle, is of tremendous importance: after onset of FP without signs of adequate functional progression, neurotization should be performed as early as 6 months to preserve the mimic musculature [11-13]. Facial muscles may be preserved by nerve transfer and CFNG if performed in a timely manner. When bridging the period between the first onset of FP and muscle-preserving surgery, atrophy of the mimic muscles may be attenuated by ES [4].

In contrast, after a period of 15–18 months without neural input, the muscle is irrevocably weakened and subject to fatty degeneration with atrophy. A multitude of studies have evaluated the best time for intervention for surgical reconstruction [11-14].

Rivas et al. [13] developed a model for early prediction of facial nerve recovery after vestibular schwannoma surgery to select patients for facial reanimation surgery. They recommend surgery sooner than a waiting period of 1 year to improve outcomes. Their recovery model was tested by Albathi et al. [11], who selected patients after cerebellopontine angle tumor resection with an uninterrupted facial nerve for reanimation surgery after a postoperative observation period shorter than 12 months. Early surgical intervention shortened the duration of paralysis. Patients who refused facial reanimation surgery presented at best with HB grade V recovery after 18 months of follow-up, whereas patients with neurotization improved from HB grade VI to grade II or III in most cases. Therefore, the authors recommended facial reanimation as early as 6 months after FP. Successful rehabilitation is unlikely if there are no clinical signs of spontaneous nerve recovery in the first 6 months.

Further studies considering the best timing for facial reanimation and factors affecting the recovery of facial nerve function concluded that the only significant factor influencing the prognosis was the duration of the preoperative deficit. The most critical time for restoring function of HB grades III and IV is 6 months [14]. Patients who show no signs of recovery in the first 6 months, even if their facial nerves are intact, recover poorly and are candidates for early facial reanimation surgery. A strict indication for surgery according to the “time is muscle” principle is no improvement of at least 1 point on the HB scale by 6 months after the onset of FP following tumor resection [12].

The optimal time frame for facial reanimation surgery was long exceeded in our case. Therefore, complete functional restoration could not be achieved after the neurotization procedure. Nevertheless, the postoperative result was judged to be favorable with regard to the 19-month denervation period, which seemed attributable to continuous ES. According to our clinical experience, facial movement could not otherwise have been re-established after 19 months of denervated facial musculature.

NOTES

Conflict of interest

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

Ethical approval

The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Regensburg (IRB No. 14-101-0251) and performed in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was obtained.

Patient consent

The patient provided written informed consent for the publication and the use of her images.

Author contribution

Successful neurotization surgery in FP

ORCID
Laura Sommerauer https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3642-1451
Simon Engelmann https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3810-3307
Marc Ruewe https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6788-0853
Alexandra Anker https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9004-073X
Lukas Prantl https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2454-2499
Andreas Kehrer https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9472-7662

Supplementary material
Supplemental Video 1. Video case report facial palsy. Supplemental data can be found at: https://doi.org/10.5999/aps.2020.01025.v001.
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